JUVENILE JURISDICTION PLANNING AND IMPLEMENTATION COMMITTEE

Meeting Materials November 16, 2006

Contents

- Workgroup charges
- Updated meeting schedule
- Breakout of presentations by workgroup
- Workgroup assignments

JUVENILE JURISDICTION PLANNING AND IMPLEMENTATION COMMITTEE

Workgroup Charges

Front End

The Front End workgroup is tasked with articulating findings as to how systems and stakeholders that work with youth *prior to court petition* will be impacted by raising the age of juvenile jurisdiction from 16 to 18. Areas of focus include, but are not limited to:

- Arrest;
- Interrogation and investigation;
- Police diversion; and
- Protocols relating to pre-trial detention.

This workgroup will also be responsible for developing discrete recommendations to ensure that affected systems and stakeholders that work with youth *prior to court petition* are adequately prepared for a change in jurisdictional age. The identification of short- and long-term implementation strategies will be required. The appropriateness of legislative changes, costs, and savings will be examined.

Court-related Issues

The Court-related Issues workgroup is tasked with articulating findings regarding how *court processes* will be impacted by raising the age of juvenile jurisdiction from 16 to 18. Areas of focus include, but are not limited to:

- Juvenile court dockets;
- Defense representation;
- Prosecutor case loads: and
- Case processing time.

This workgroup will also be responsible for developing discrete recommendations to ensure that the juvenile courts are prepared for a change in jurisdictional age. To do so, this group must work closely with representatives from the National Center for State Courts to assess pertinent data and impact analyses. The identification of short- and long-term implementation strategies will be required. The appropriateness of legislative changes, costs, and savings will be examined.

Services and Disposition

The Services and Disposition workgroup is tasked with articulating findings as to how systems and stakeholders that work with youth *post-disposition* will be impacted by raising the age of juvenile jurisdiction from 16 to 18. Areas of focus include, but are not limited to:

- Service needs of the 16- and 17-year old population;
- Availability of services available in the juvenile justice system;

- Assessing which of the available services should be expanded for 16- and 17year old population and identifying which additional services should be
 implemented specifically for the older age group; and
- Assessment of long-term placement needs.

This workgroup will also be responsible for developing discrete recommendations to ensure that affected systems and stakeholders that work with youth *post-disposition* are adequately prepared for a change in jurisdictional age. To do so, this group must work closely with representatives from Hornby Zeller to assess pertinent data and impact analyses. The identification of short- and long-term implementation strategies will be required. The appropriateness of legislative changes, costs, and savings will be examined.

Juvenile Jurisdiction Planning and Implementation Committee Updated Meeting Schedule

November 16th, 1:30-4:30

November 30th, 1:30-4:30

December 7th, 1:30-4:30

December 21st, 1:30-4:30

January 4th, 1:30-4:30

January 18th, 1:30-4:30

January 25th, 1:30-4:30

February 8th, 1:30-4:30

Breakout of Presentations by Workgroup

	Front End	Courts	Services
Child Advocate Testimony	X		
Juvenile Justice Planning and Implementation Committee Data Presentation			
Comparison of Juvenile and Adult Court Process			X
USDII Information Survey	X	X	
Programs that Work: Cost Benefits			X
DCF Services for Adolescents			X
Multi-Systemic Therapy Locations	X		X
Detention Liaison Data	X		
16 & 17 Year Olds Committed Neglect, Abused, Uncared for or TPR'd in Out of Home Placement	X		
Contracted Service Continuum And Recommendations for Youth	X		
Juvenile Justice Planning and Implementation Committee Cataloging and Diagramming of the Current System	X		X
Testimony of George A. Coleman Interim Commissioner Connecticut State Board of Education	X		X
Testimony of Peter B. Rockholz, M.S.S.W. Deputy Commissioner Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services	X		X
Juvenile Justice Advisory Committee Children, Youth and the Police January 2006	X		

Extending JJS Jurisdiction to 16 & 17 year olds	X		
The Impact on Law Enforcement	X		
The Multi-Agency Working Group on Youth Final Report, January 15, 2006	X		X
Overview of Connecticut's Juvenile Justice System	X	X	X
Juvenile Justice Implementation Team Report February 2004	X	X	X
Taking a "Positive Youth Development" Approach to Public Policy: A Briefing Paper for the Connecticut Youth Futures Committee	X	X	X
The Comparative Advantage of Juvenile Versus Criminal Court Sanctions on Recidivism among Adolescent Felony Offenders 1996	X	X	X
Brick by Brick: Dismantling the Border Between Juvenile and Adult Justice	X	X	X

<u>Juvenile Jurisdiction Planning and Implementation Committee</u> Workgroups

Front End

Christine Rapillo (facilitator)

Leo Arnone

Anne Marie DeGraffenreidt

Rep. Robert Farr Susan Hamilton Rep. Gail Hamm

Brian Hill

Sen. John Kissel

Comm. Theresa Lantz

Jeanne Milstein

Sue O'Brien

Chief Carl Sferrazza

Rep. Cathy Tymniak

Court-Related Issues

Ellen Scalettar (facilitator)

William Carbone

Fran Carino

Donald Devore

Antonia Donis

Sen. Judith Freedman

Deborah Fuller

Sen. Mary Ann Handley

Lisa Kouzoujian

Judge Barbara Quinn

Deborah DelPrete Sullivan

Services and Disposition

Hector Glynn (facilitator)

Portia Bonner, Ph.D.

Joyce Bosco

Liz Brown

Jeff Carr

John Dixon

Comm. Darlene Dunbar

Rep. Lile R. Gibbons

Janice Gruendel

Mickey Kramer

Judge William Lavery

Sen. Edward Meyer

Julie Revaz

Cindy Rutledge

Sara Mogulescu (Vera facilitator)

Theresa Sgobba (Vera facilitator)

Tina Chiu (Vera facilitator)